# Plan Summary Preview

### **Company Details**

#### Company Legal Name:

Mancor Industries

Company Address:

2481 Royal Windsor Drive, Oakville (Ontario)

# **Report Details**

Facility:

Royal Windsor Drive location

Facility Address:

2481 Royal Windsor Drive, Oakville (Ontario)

#### Update Comments:

Activities		
Facility Contacts		
Facility Contacts		
Public Contact:*		
Brian Bailey		
Highest Ranking Employee:		
Wayne Mount		
Person responsible for preparing the to	xic substance reduction plan:	
Mark Cotter		
Organization Validation		
Company and Parent Com	pany Information	
Company Details		
Company Legal Name:*	Mancor Industries	

Company Trade Name:\*

Mancor Industries

Business Number:*	898914270
Mailing Address	
Delivery Mode:	General Delivery
PO Box	
Rural Route Number	
Address Line 1	2481 Roval Windsor Drive
City*	Oakville
	Calvino
Province/Territory**	Ontario
Postal Code:**	L6J7X6
Physical Address	
Address Line 1	2481 Royal Windsor Drive
City	Oakville
Province/Territory	Ontario
Postal Code	L6J7X6
Additional Information	
Land Survey Description	
National Topographical Description	
Parent Companies	
Facility Validation	
Facility Information	
Facility:*	Royal Windsor Drive location
NAICS Id:*	336390
NPRI Id:*	000007306
ON Reg 127/01 ld:	

# Mailing Address

Delivery Mode:	General Delivery
PO Box	
Rural Route Number	
Address Line 1	2481 Royal Windsor Drive
City*	Oakville
Province/Territory**	Ontario
Postal Code:**	L6J7X6
Physical Address	
Address Line 1	2481 Royal Windsor Drive
City	Oakville
Province/Territory	Ontario
Postal Code	L6J7X6
Additional Information	
Land Survey Description	
National Topographical Description	
Geographical Address	
Latitude	43.49540
Longitude	-79.64810
UTM Zone**	17
UTM Easting**	609302
UTM Northing**	4816718
Contact Validation	

Contacts	
Public Contact:	
First Name:*	Brian
Last Name:*	Bailey
Position:*	Engineering Manager
Telephone:*	9058440581
Ext:	254
Fax:	9058446101
Email:*	bbailey@mancor.com
Mailing Address	
Delivery Mode:	Suburban Services
PO Box	
Rural Route Number	
Address Line 1	2481 Royal Windsor Drive
City*	Oakville
Province/Territory**	Ontario
Postal Code:**	L6J7X6
Highest Ranking Employee:	
First Name:*	Wayne
Last Name:*	Mount
Position:*	General Manager
Telephone:*	9058440581
Ext:	251

Fax:

	9058449856
Email:*	wmount@mancor.com
Mailing Address	
Delivery Mode:	Suburban Services
PO Box	
Rural Route Number	
Address Line 1	2481 Royal Windsor Drive
City*	Oakville
Province/Territory**	Ontario
Postal Code:**	L6J7X6

# Person responsible for the Toxic Substance Reduction Plan preparation:

First Name:*	Mark
Last Name:*	Cotter
Position:*	Principal
Telephone:*	4164718774
Ext:	
Fax:	
Email:*	mcotter@cotterassociates.ca
Mailing Address	
Delivery Mode:	General Delivery
PO Box	
Rural Route Number	
Address Line 1	1214 Saginaw Crescent

City*	Mississauga
Province/Territory**	Ontario
Postal Code:**	L5H3W6
Employees	
Employees	
Number of Full-time Employees:*	

140

### Substances

### NA - M09, PM10 - Particulate Matter <= 10 Microns

NA - M09, PM10 - Particulate Matter <= 10 Microns

### Substances Section Data

#### Statement of Intent

Use

Does the plan include a statement that stipulates the owner or operator's intent to use less of this toxic substance at their facility?\*

No

If 'yes', provide the exact statement of intent:\*\*

If 'no', what rationale is specified in the plan for not using less of this substance?\*\*

Substance is not "used" at the facility. It is created by operational processes.

### Creation

Does the plan include a statement that stipulates the owner or operator's intent to create less of this toxic substance at their facility?\*

Yes

If 'yes', provide the exact statement of intent:\*\*

Mancor intends to install additional filtration systems to capture particulate matter from welding operations so that it will not be emitted to the environment.

If 'no', what rationale is specified in the plan for not creating less of this substance?:\*\*

# Objectives, Targets and Description

# Plan Objectives

Objectives in plan:\*

To reduce particulate matter emissions from welding operations.

### Toxic Substance Use Targets

# Reduction target:\*

	Quantity	Unit
⊠ No target	or	
Timeframe target:*		
🗙 No target	or	years
Description of use targets:		

# Toxic Substance Creation Targets

### Reduction target:\*

		Quantity	Unit
□ No target	or	553	kg
Timeframe target:*			
□ No target	or	1	years
Description of creation targets:			
70% Reduction in PM-10 emissions, by captu	iring we	elding fumes.	
Reasons for Using this Toxic Su	ubsta	nce	
This substance is used at the facility:*			

This substance is not used at the facility

Summarize why this substance is used at the facility:\*\*

### Reasons for Creating this Toxic Substance

This substance is created at the facility:\*

#### As a by-product

Summarize why this substance is created at the facility:\*\*

Fine particulate matter emissions are created from welding operations, painting operations, and combustion processes (comfort heating).

### Toxic Reduction Options for Implementation

### Toxic substance reduction option(s) to be implemented:

Does the plan specify that no toxic reduction option will be implemented?\*

No

If 'No', record the option(s) under the appropriate categories below (e.g., Materials or feedstock substitution; Product design or reformulation). If 'Yes', explain why no option will be implemented:\*\*

### Materials or feedstock substitution

#### Product design or reformulation

#### Equipment or process modifications

#### Other

### Which activities will be undertaken to implement these reduction options?

Select an option:\*

Other

Describe the option:\*

Installation of additional filtration systems inside the facility to capture welding fume (fine particulate) so that it will not be emitted to the environment.

#### Estimates

Estimate of the amount by which the <strong>use</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

XN/A	tonnes	%

Estimate of the amount by which the <strong>creation</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

□N/A	0.55	tonnes	70	%
Estimate of the amount by which the tox facility will be reduced as a result of imp	kic substance <st elementing the op</st 	trong>contained in otion:	the product <td>ong&gt; leaving the</td>	ong> leaving the
XN/A		tonnes		%
Estimate of the amount by which the tot facility will be reduced as a result of imp	al <strong>releasilementing the op</strong>	ses to air otion:	<ul> <li>of the toxic sub</li> </ul>	stance at the
□N/A	0.55	tonnes	70	%
Estimate of the amount by which the tot facility will be reduced as a result of imp	al <strong>release elementing the op</strong>	ses to waterotion:	ng> of the toxic s	substance at the
⊠N/A		tonnes		%
Estimate of the amount by which the tot facility will be reduced as a result of imp	al <strong>release elementing the op</strong>	ses to landtion:	g> of the toxic su	ibstance at the
⊠N/A		tonnes		%
Estimate of the amount by which the <s at="" facility="" of="" substance="" td="" the="" toxic="" will<=""><td>trong&gt;disposals be reduced as a</td><td>on-site (i result on impleme</td><td>ncluding tailing a nting this option:</td><td>and waste rock)</td></s>	trong>disposals be reduced as a	on-site (i result on impleme	ncluding tailing a nting this option:	and waste rock)
⊠N/A		tonnes		%
Estimate of the amount by which the <s a="" as="" be="" implement<="" on="" reduced="" result="" td="" will=""><td>trong&gt;disposals ting this option:</td><td>off-site o</td><td>f the toxic substa</td><td>ance at the facility</td></s>	trong>disposals ting this option:	off-site o	f the toxic substa	ance at the facility
⊠N/A		tonnes		%
Estimate of the amount by which total <strong>recycling off-site</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result on implementing this option:				
⊠N/A		tonnes		%
Timelines				
Anticipated timelines for achieving the e substance:	estimated reduction	on of the <strong></strong>	use of	the toxic

X N/A

years

Anticipated timelines for achieving the estimated reduction of the <strong>creation</strong> of the toxic substance:

1

🗌 N/A

years

# Spill or leak prevention

### On-site reuse, recycling or recovery

# Improved inventory management or purchasing techniques

### Good operator practice or training

Rationale for choosing these options for implementation:

Technically viable option to reduce air emissions, though it will increase costs at the facility and has no return on investment.

Summary of actions undertaken outside of the plan to reduce the use and creation of this toxic substance at the facility:

License number of the toxic substance reduction planner who made the recommendations for this substance (format TSRPXXXX):\*

#### **TSRP0092**

License number of the toxic substance reduction planner who certified the plan for this substance (format TSRPXXXX):\*

TSRP0092

Which version of the plan is reflected in this summary?\*

New Plan

### NA - M10, PM2.5 - Particulate Matter <= 2.5 Microns

NA - M10, PM2.5 - Particulate Matter <= 2.5 Microns

### Substances Section Data

### Statement of Intent

Use

Does the plan include a statement that stipulates the owner or operator's intent to use less of this toxic substance at their facility?\*

No

If 'yes', provide the exact statement of intent:\*\*

If 'no', what rationale is specified in the plan for not using less of this substance?\*\*

Substance is not "used" at the facility. It is created by operational processes.

### Creation

Does the plan include a statement that stipulates the owner or operator's intent to create less of this toxic substance at their facility?\*

Yes

If 'yes', provide the exact statement of intent:\*\*

Mancor intends to install additional filtration systems to capture particulate matter from welding operations so that it will not be emitted to the environment.

If 'no', what rationale is specified in the plan for not creating less of this substance?:\*\*

### **Objectives, Targets and Description**

#### Plan Objectives

Objectives in plan:\*

To reduce particulate matter emissions from welding operations.

### **Toxic Substance Use Targets**

### Reduction target:\*

	Quantity	Unit	
⊠ No target	or		
Timeframe target:*			
⊠ No target	or	years	
Description of use targets:			

### **Toxic Substance Creation Targets**

### Reduction target:\*

		Quantity	Unit
□ No target	or	415	kg

### Timeframe target:\*

No target	or	1	years

Description of creation targets:

63% Reduction in PM-2.5 emissions, by capturing welding fumes.

### Reasons for Using this Toxic Substance

This substance is used at the facility:\*

This substance is not used at the facility

Summarize why this substance is used at the facility:\*\*

# Reasons for Creating this Toxic Substance

This substance is created at the facility:\*

As a by-product

Summarize why this substance is created at the facility:\*\*

Fine particulate matter emissions are created from welding operations, painting operations, and combustion processes (comfort heating).

### Toxic Reduction Options for Implementation

### Toxic substance reduction option(s) to be implemented:

Does the plan specify that no toxic reduction option will be implemented?\*

No

If 'No', record the option(s) under the appropriate categories below (e.g., Materials or feedstock substitution; Product design or reformulation).If 'Yes', explain why no option will be implemented:\*\*

Materials or feedstock substitution

Product design or reformulation

### Equipment or process modifications

Other

Which activities will be undertaken to implement these reduction options?

Select an option:\*

Other

Describe the option:\*

Installation of additional filtration systems inside the facility to capture welding fume (fine particulate) so that it will not be emitted to the environment.

#### **Estimates**

Estimate of the amount by which the <strong>use</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

XN/A	tonnes	%

Estimate of the amount by which the <strong>creation</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

□N/A	0.42	tonnes	63	%

Estimate of the amount by which the toxic substance <strong>contained in the product</strong> leaving the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

XN/A	tonnes	%

Estimate of the amount by which the total <strong>releases to air</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

□N/A	0.42	tonnes	63	%

Estimate of the amount by which the total <strong>releases to water</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

 $\times N/A$ 

tonnes

%

Estimate of the amount by which the total <strong>releases to land</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result of implementing the option:

×N/A	tonnes	%	

Estimate of the amount by which the <strong>disposals on-site</strong> (including tailing and waste rock) of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result on implementing this option:

XN/A	tonnes	%

Estimate of the amount by which the <strong>disposals off-site</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result on implementing this option:

XN/A		tonnes		%			
Estimate of the amount by which total <strong>recycling off-site</strong> of the toxic substance at the facility will be reduced as a result on implementing this option:							
XN/A		tonnes		%			
Timelines							
Anticipated timelines for achieving the e substance:	estimated reduction	on of the <strong></strong>	use of	the toxic			
X N/A			years				
Anticipated timelines for achieving the e substance:	estimated reduction	on of the <strong></strong>	creation <td>&gt; of the toxic</td>	> of the toxic			
□ N/A	1		years				
Spill or leak prevention							
On-site reuse, recycling or	recovery						
Improved inventory manage	ement or pu	irchasing tec	hniques				
Good operator practice or t	raining						
Rationale for choosing these options for	r implementation						
Technically viable option to reduce air emissions, though it will increase costs at the facility and has no return on investment.							
Summary of actions undertaken outside the facility:	e of the plan to re	educe the use and	creation of this to	oxic substance at			
License number of the toxic substance reduction planner who made the recommendations for this substance (format TSRPXXXX):*							

TSRP0092

License number of the toxic substance reduction planner who certified the plan for this substance (format TSRPXXXX):\*

#### TSRP0092

Which version of the plan is reflected in this summary?\*

New Plan

#### CERTIFICATION

As of December 19, 2013, I, Wayne Mount, certify that I have read the toxic substance reduction plan for PM-10 and PM-2.5 and am familiar with its contents, and to my knowledge the plan is factually accurate and complies with the Toxics Reduction Act, 2009 and Ontario Regulation 455/09 (General) made under that Act.

Wayne Mount, General Manager

As of December 19, 2013, I, Wendy Nadan certify that I am familiar with the processes at Mancor that create PM-10 and PM-2.5, that I agree with the estimates referred to in subparagraphs 7 iii, iv and v of subsection 4 (1) of the Toxics Reduction Act, 2009 that are set out in the plan dated December 18, 2013 and that the plan complies with that Act and Ontario Regulation 455/09 (General) made under that Act.

December 19, 2013

Wendy Nadan, Toxic Substance Reduction Planner

Date